## **VIRGINIA:**

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF VIRGINIA BEACH

In re: A Court of Mist and Fury

Case No. CL22-1984

## FINAL ORDER

On August 30, 2022, Petitioner Tommy Altman, Bloomsbury Publishing Inc., Sarah Maas, and Barnes & Noble Booksellers, Inc. appeared, by their respective counsel, and presented oral argument on Barnes & Noble's and Bloomsbury and Maas' respective motions to dismiss the Petition and to vacate the Order to Show Cause entered May 18, 2022. Upon consideration of the Petition, the briefs submitted by all parties, and the argument of counsel, the Court hereby ORDERS that:

The motion of the Virginia Booksellers and Interested Persons (Main Street Books, LTD d/b/a Prince Books; KatMac LLC d/b/a Read Books; One More Page, LLC d/b/a One More Page Books; Two Knikkers, LLC d/b/a bbgb tales for kids; American Booksellers for Free Expression; Association of American Publishers, Inc.; Authors Guild, Inc.; American Library Association; Virginia Library Association; and Freedom to Read Foundation) to appear as *amici curiae* is hereby GRANTED.

The Court further FINDS that:

- 1. The Code of Virginia does not provide a Circuit Court with the statutory authority to grant the relief sought in the Petition, specifically, a determination that the book *A Court of Mist and Fury* (the "Book") is "obscene as to minors," and that consequently this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate this matter.
- 2. The Petition does not allege facts sufficient to support a finding, under the terms of Virginia Code § 18.2-384, that the Book is obscene.

- 3. The Constitutions of the United States and the Commonwealth of Virginia operate as a constraint on the pleading of a claim of obscenity as to adults and as to material that is inappropriate for distribution to minors, and the Petitions fail to meet the requirements of the governing constitutional rules.
- 4. Virginia Code § 18.2-384 is unconstitutional on its face in that it authorizes a prior restraint that violates the First Amendment and the Constitution of Virginia.
- 5. Virginia Code § 18.2-384 is unconstitutional on its face under the First Amendment and the Constitution of Virginia in that it imposes a presumption of *scienter* on persons who have no knowledge that a book may be considered obscene.
- 6. Virginia Code § 18.2-384 is unconstitutional on its face under the First Amendment and the Constitution of Virginia in that it violates due process by authorizing judgment without notice to affected parties.
- 7. Petitioner's request to withdraw the Temporary Restraining Order was granted.

  The School Board's request for a Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Code of Virginia Section 18.2
  383 was determined to be most in light of the withdrawal of the Temporary Restraining Order.

The Court determines that each of its above findings constitutes an independent and alternative ground for dismissal of the Petition, and hereby ORDERS that Barnes & Noble's and Bloomsbury and Maas's respective motions to dismiss the Petition be and are hereby GRANTED. As it appears from the record that amendment would be futile, leave to amend shall not be granted.

The Court further finds that its Order to Show Cause entered May 18, 2022, was issued ex parte without the benefit of briefing or argument by affected parties, and that the finding of

probable cause was made on an incomplete record. Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause entered May 18, 2022, is VACATED.

The Court having adjudicated all matters raised by the Petition, the Clerk is directed to remove this case from the active docket.

The Clerk of this Court shall send a certified copy of this Order to all counsel of record. It is so ORDERED.

Entered: 8/30/2022

We ask for this:

David B. Lacy (VSB No. 71177)

Christian & Barton, LLP

901 East Cary Street, Suite 1800

Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095

dlacy@cblaw.com

Maura J. Wogan\*

Edward H. Rosenthal\*

Nicole Bergstrom\*

Molly G. Rothschild\*

Frankfurt Kurnit Klein & Selz, PC

28 Liberty Street

New York, New York 10005

mwogan@fkks.com

erosenthal@fkks.com

nbergstrom@fkks.com

mrothschild@fkks.com

\*admitted pro hac vice

Counsel for Bloomsbury Publishing, Inc. and Sarah J. Maas

We ask for this:

Craig T. Werritt (VSB No. 20281)

R. Braxton Hill, IV (VSB No. 41539)

Merritt Law, PLLC

919 E. Main St., Suite 1000

Richmond, VA 23219

cmerritt@merrittfirm.com

bhill@merrittfirm.com

Robert Corn-Revere\*

Laura R. Handman\*

Linda Steinman\*

Amanda B. Levine\*

Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP

1301 K. Street NW, Suite 500 East

Washington, D.C. 2009-3317

bobcornrevere@dwt.com

laurahandman@dwt.com

lindasteinman@dwt.com

amandalevine@dwt.com

\* admitted pro hac vice

Counsel for Barnes & Noble Booksellers, Inc.

Seen and agreed to:

Kamala H. Lannetti (VSB No. 31726) School Board Attorney 2512 George Masons Drive Municipal Center, Building 6 Virginia Beach, VA 23455

klannetti@vbschools.com

Tu

(757) 263-1210

## Seen and objected to:

Timothy Anderson (VSB No. 43803) Anderson & Associates PC 2492 N. Landing Rd., Suite 104 Virginia Beach, VA 23456 timanderson@virginialawoffice.com

Counsel for Petitioner Tommy Altman