JS 44 (Rev 06/17)		CIVIL C	OVE	R SHEET				
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	the information contained t. This form, approved by to ocket sheet <i>(SFE INSTRUC</i>	herein neither replace n he Judicial Conference TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	or supple of the Un of THIS FO	ment the filing and servic ited States in September (e of pleadings or other 1974, is required for the	papers as required l use of the Clerk of	y law, exce Court for the	ept as he
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Jason Kessler					ille and Maurice Jo ficial and individual	,	ville City	
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff SCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	Dity of Charlottesvill 48ES)	le	County of Residence	of First Listed Defenda (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (DINDEMINATION CASES)	ant City of Ch		lle
	*****	-			OF LAND INVOLVED	USE THE LOCATIC	N OF	
(C) Attorneys (Firm Name, Leslie Mehta/Hope Ame; Suite 1412, Richmond, V M. Glasberg & Associate	zquita, ACLU of Virgin /A 23219, 804-644-80	ia, 701 E. Franklin 3 80; Victor Glasberg	, Victor		ity Attorney's Office 70-3101)	e, P.O. Box 911	, Charlotte	esville,
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (Ine Box (Inly)	III. C	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PAR		in One Box f ox for Defende	
I U.S. Government Plaintiff		Not a Parțy)	Citiz	P		ed or Principal Place tess In This State	PTF Ø 4	DEF D 4
D 2 U S Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity (Indicate Criteensh)	ip of Parties in Item 111)	Citiz	en of Another State		ed and Principal Place ness In Another State	J 5	O 5
				en or Subject of a 🛛 🗇	3 🗇 3 Foreign Na	ation	D 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						cature of Sont Code		
CONTRACT I10 Insurance I20 Marine I20 Marine I30 Miller Act I40 Negotiable Instrument I50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment I51 Medicare Act I52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) I53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchuse <u>REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property </u>	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Aisault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 360 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 441 Housing ↓ 442 Employment ☐ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 536 Other Personal Property Damage 537 Date Personal 530 General 530 General 530 Covil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detamee - Conditions of Confinement	Y 0 63	ORFETTURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 35 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY U 422 Appeal 28 USC 1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGH 840 Copy rights 835 Patent - Abbrevia New Drug Applic 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURIT 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (4) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RS1 (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SU 870 Taxes (U S. Plan or Defendant) 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	58 Image: 375 False 376 Qui 3726 376 Qui 3726 100 State 10 Antii Image: 140 Antii 410 Antii Image: 140 Antii 440 Committed Image: 140 Antii 450 Committed Image: 140 Antii 460 Dept Image: 140 Antii 470 Rack Image: 140 Antii 890 Committee Image: 140 Antii 890 Antii Image: 140 Antii 895 Free Image: 140 Antii 896 Antii I	and Bankin merce ortation etter Influence apt Organizati unner Credit e Sat TV rities Commo ange r Statutory Ac cultural Acts commental Mai Jom of Inform	ment eed and ions dities/ clions ners nation ocedure peal of
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	moved from te Court 3 Court 3 Court Court Court <td>Appellate Court tute under which you ar onstitution and 28 I uuse Amendment.</td> <td>re filing (i U.S.C. §</td> <td>pened Anothe (specifi) Do not cite jurisdictional stat</td> <td>r District Lit Tra utes unless diversity)</td> <td>igation - inster</td> <td>8 Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi</td> <td>n - le</td>	Appellate Court tute under which you ar onstitution and 28 I uuse Amendment.	re filing (i U.S.C. §	pened Anothe (specifi) Do not cite jurisdictional stat	r District Lit Tra utes unless diversity)	igation - inster	8 Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n - le
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv P	, D	ENIMID \$	JURY DEM	S only if demanded IAND: X Ye		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBE	ER		
DATE 08/10/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATT //S// Hope R. AI						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	JOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MA	G JUDGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

(Charlottesville Division)

JASON KESSLER,) Case No.
Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR) DECLARATORY AND
ν.) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
) AND DAMAGES
CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE)
)
)
and)
	ý
MAURICE JONES,)
Charlottesville City Manager)
In his official and individual capacities,)
)
Defendants.)
)

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The First Amendment guarantees political speech, including protest, the highest level of protection—and the right to speak out is most robust in traditional public fora, including public parks and streets. Since this country's founding, people have taken to the parks, streets, and sidewalks to make their voices heard on matters of public concern. This case is about viewpoint discrimination by Defendants against Plaintiff. Plaintiff's views are highly controversial and have evoked strong protests and demands heard by City Council that his permit be revoked.

2. Plaintiff seeks to exercise his protected right to protest in a public park by organizing a rally in Emancipation Park ("the Park") on August 12, 2017.

3. Plaintiff wishes to communicate a message that relates directly to the Park specifically, his opposition to the City's decisions to rename the Park, which was previously known as "Lee Park," and its plans to remove a statue of Robert E. Lee from the Park.

4. On June 13, 2017, Defendants granted Plaintiff a permit to hold his rally in the Park on August 12, 2017. In the following weeks, Defendants granted organizations that oppose Plaintiff's message permits to counter-protest in other public parks just blocks away from the Park.

5. On August 7, 2017, less than a week before the long-planned and permitted event in the Park, however, Defendants notified Plaintiff in a press conference and by letter (Exhibit B) that they were revoking Plaintiff's permit and "modif[ying]" their permission to allow Plaintiff to hold his rally in McIntire Park only. McIntire Park is not connected to Plaintiff's message, and is located more than a mile from the Park.

6. In the August 7 letter, Defendants explained their decision by saying that "it [came] to the City's attention that many thousands of individuals are likely to attend the demonstration." Defendants further asserted that the City would be "unable to accommodate safely even a peaceful crowd of this size" in the Park.

7. Defendants have permitted events with audiences numbering several thousand in the Park in the past, and the events have proceeded without incident. The Charlottesville Police Department has never before suggested that it would be unable to handle crowds downtown during festivals held in Emancipation Park that have drawn many thousands of people.

8. While the government may impose narrowly-drawn time, place, and manner restrictions on the exercise of the rights to speak, petition or assemble, including permit requirements, the First Amendment prohibits the government from blocking a protest based on its content or viewpoint, or based on how the government anticipates others will respond to the

protest. The revocation of Plaintiff's permit was based on his viewpoint and was not necessary to achieve any compelling governmental interest.

9. Plaintiff's constitutional rights will be violated, and irreparable harm will result, if the Court does not provide immediate relief enjoining Defendants from preventing or impeding Plaintiff's constitutional rights to free speech, petition, and assembly.

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff Jason Kessler is an adult natural person who resides in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

11. Defendant City of Charlottesville ("the City") is a political sub-division of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

12. Defendant Maurice Jones is an adult natural person who is the City Manager for the City of Charlottesville in the Commonwealth of Virginia. At all times relevant, Defendant Jones acted and continues to act under color of state law. Defendant Jones is sued in his official and individual capacities.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This case arises under the United States Constitution and the laws of the United States. The case presents a federal question within this Court's jurisdiction under Article III of the Constitution and 28 U.S.C. § 1331; this Court also has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3) to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of any right, privilege, or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States.

14. The case seeks remedies under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 65. This Court may issue a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b).

15. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

From Lee Park to Emancipation Park

16. The City of Charlottesville owns the park bounded by Jefferson Street, First StreetN.E., Market Street and Second Street N.E ("the Park").

17. Historically, the Park was known as "Lee Park." On June 5, 2017, the City renamed the Park "Emancipation Park."

18. Emancipation Park houses a statue of Robert E. Lee. The City plans to sell the statue and have it removed from the Park.

19. The City's decision to rename the Park and sell the statue have resulted in a number of protests in the Park, one involving a gubernatorial candidate and another an unpermitted nighttime torchlit march on May 13, 2017 in which Plaintiff and others who plan to attend the August 12th rally participated.

20. Plaintiff opposes both the name change and the planned removal of the statue. To communicate his political message, Plaintiff sought to organize a "Unite the Right" rally in the Park to express opposition to both decisions by the City.

21. Plaintiff's choice of location is critical to the message of the rally, which specifically opposes two City policy choices about the Park. Holding the protest elsewhere would dilute and alter Plaintiff's message.

Defendants Granted Plaintiff's Permit

22. Defendants require persons wishing to exercise their First Amendment rights on its public land to obtain a permit from the City's Events Coordinator. *See* City of Charlottesville Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") § 3.2.

23. Wishing to exercise his right, Plaintiff duly submitted an application to the City of Charlottesville on May 30, 2017. Plaintiff applied for a permit to hold a "[f]ree speech rally in support of the Lee monument" in the Park on Saturday, August 12, 2017. (Exhibit A).

24. In the permit application, Plaintiff estimated that approximately four hundred people would demonstrate at the planned rally. (Exhibit A).

25. Pursuant to City of Charlottesville SOP § 3.4.6(b), the City granted Plaintiff's permit application on June 13, 2017.

Defendants Revoked Plaintiff's Permit

26. On August 7, 2017, more than a month after granting the permit and less than a week before the planned rally—Defendant Maurice Jones sent Plaintiff a letter on behalf of the City. (Exhibit B).

27. In the Letter, Defendants "denied" or "revoke[d]" the permit for the demonstration in Emancipation Park. (Exhibit B)

28. Defendants also purported to "modif[y]" Plaintiff's permit "to specify that the demonstration take place at McIntire Park," rather than the Park. (Exhibit B)

29. McIntire Park is not connected to Plaintiff's message, which centers on the City's policy decisions regarding Emancipation Park and the Lee statue in the Park.

30. In addition, McIntire Park is located more than a mile away from Emancipation Park.

31. Relocating to McIntire Park would substantially undermine Plaintiff's ability to communicate his message about the renaming of Emancipation Park and the decision to remove the Lee statue from the Park.

32. Defendants say they revoked the permit for Emancipation Park because "it [came] to the City's attention that many thousands of individuals are likely to attend the demonstration." Defendants did not identify the source of this estimate. Based on the unsupported crowd estimate, Defendants asserted that the City would be "unable to accommodate safely even a peaceful crowd of this size" in Emancipation Park, and that "the presence of such a large demonstration in Emancipation Park would . . . lead[] to massive traffic congestion[.]" (Exhibit B).

33. Defendants have acknowledged that "[t]he use of McIntire Park will still require the deployment of considerable law enforcement resources[.]

34. Moreover, the City Police Department is now preparing for the possibility that people will gather at both parks during the rally. The City has announced that it plans to deploy resources in the downtown area at and around Emancipation Park, negating any possible safety or traffic congestion benefit from moving the rally to McIntire Park.

35. In the August 7, 2017 letter, Defendants said that holding the rally in Emancipation Park would "lead[] to massive traffic congestion" due to road closures. Moving the rally to McIntire Park has not alleviated this concern. Instead, the City's preliminary plans for managing the August 12 events include the possibility of road closures during the rally. (Exhibit B)

36. The City granted permits for demonstrations opposing Plaintiff's views to occur on August 12, 2017 in Justice and McGuffey Parks located just blocks away from Emancipation Park in the same downtown area. Those demonstrations are said to be organized to protest "the messages of racial intolerance and hatred advocated by" the persons attending the demonstration in the Park. Upon information and belief, the organizers of these other events have encouraged and expect an attendance of more than 1,000 persons.

37. Despite their allegation that the decision to revoke Plaintiff's permit was not based on the views he wishes to express, but on the numbers of possible participants, Defendants have taken no action to modify or revoke the permits issued for demonstrations by those with opposing views.

38. The City's concerns about holding the rally in the Park appear to stem, at least in part, from fears of how counter-protesters will respond to the rally. In explaining its plans to prepare for rallies at both Emancipation Park and McIntire Park, the City explained that "[w]ith large crowds of individuals with strongly held *and potentially opposing* beliefs, there is also the potential for conflict." *See City preparing for large crowds Saturday*, Daily Progress (Aug. 9, 2017), available at <u>www.dailyprogress.com/news/city-preparing-for-large-crowds-saturday/article 94918c6c-7d57-11e7-901e-afca3a9eb91b.html</u> (emphasis added). This builds on the City's experience during the Ku Klux Rally in downtown Charlottesville on July 8, 2017, during which the Charlottesville Police Department asserted that counter-protesters were involved in an "unlawful assembly" and used tear gas to disperse the counter-protests.

Defendants' Reason for Revocation

39. The Defendants claim that the decision to revoke and modify Plaintiff's permit was a "numbers" decision based on a belief that "many thousands" of people both supportive of Plaintiff and opposed to Plaintiff would attend. No information about the basis for this belief was given by Defendants although some have cited a Facebook event for the demonstration which currently indicates that 700 plus people are "going" and another 1,300 people are "interested." (Exhibit G). There is no estimate offered for the number of counter-protestors.

40. This Park has been for many years a traditional forum for the exercise of First Amendment rights. Numerous other groups have protested and demonstrated and held other events in the Park. Defendant has provided no information about the capacity of the Park, although the August 7, 2017 letter says that the Park is just over one acre in size. This court may take judicial notice of the facts that an acre is 4840 square yards, or 43,560 square feet and average adults (50-50 male-female) take up a cross-sectional area of about 1.5 to 2.0 square feet at chest or hip level. Taking those facts and applying basic math (dividing the number of square feet in an acre by the area taken up by average adults) an estimate of the number of people that could be accommodated standing on an acre of land would be between 29,040 and 21,780 people per acre.

41. The Charlottesville Police Department has the ability to deploy not only its own officers to provide protection of Plaintiff's exercise of his First Amendment rights but also officers from the Virginia State Police, other local law enforcement agencies, and, potentially, the Virginia National Guard. This was demonstrated during the July 8, 2017 Ku Klux Klan rally.

42. At the press conference held on August 7, 2017 at which the Defendants announced the decision to revoke Plaintiff's permit, the Charlottesville Police Chief never said that his department could not provide adequate protection for Plaintiff's proposed rally at Emancipation Park using City's active duty police force and, if necessary, additional local, state and federal forces. The Chief's statement included information about why his department felt that having the demonstration in McIntire Park would be "safer" but did not say that the Department would be "unable" to protect the safety of demonstrators, counter-demonstrators and the public in the downtown Emancipation Park location, especially if the City enacts the preliminary plans for road closures announced on August 9, 2017.

43. The City can rely on other local, state and federal forces to adequately manage the rally if necessary. On August 9, 2017, the City announced that its police department has been working with other police agencies, including the Virginia State Police, to prepare for the rally and that the local and state forces together intend to "have a visible presence" at the rally. While members of the National Guard have not yet been called upon, the City stated that the National Guard Forces will monitor events and will respond if needed.

44. The City's claim that it cannot safely manage a demonstration involving 400 people and possibly "thousands" is belied by the fact that the City routinely manages crowds of up to 3,500 people at the Sprint Pavilion on the Downtown Mall and previously has granted permits for rallies and events with "thousands" of attendees in Emancipation Park without incident or intervention from the City. For example, in 2013, 2014 and 2015 an estimated minimum 2,000 people attended the Cville Pride Festival in Emancipation Park each year. Upon information and belief, thousands of people attend an annual Spring block party in Emancipation Park. On May 14, 2016, it was reported that "thousands" of people gathered in Lee Park [sic] for a Festival of Cultures event. (Exhibit H).

45. People also have been allowed to demonstrate in the Park without permits in circumstances where the number of potential participants could not be forecast. On May 14, 2017 after a torch lit demonstration the previous night protesting the renaming of Emancipation Park and proposed removal of the Lee Statue, a candlelight vigil was held in the Park by counter-protestors without incident. Neither event was permitted. (Exhibit I).

46. The City has also allowed at least one event promoting a progressive point of view supported by the Mayor to take place on public grounds in a downtown area smaller than Emancipation Park without a permit. On January 31, 2017, there was a demonstration in front of

Charlottesville City Hall (not sponsored by the City) at which Mayor Michael Signer proclaimed Charlottesville the "Capital [sic] of the Resistance" after the inauguration of Donald Trump. The event drew as many as seven hundred attendees. No permit was obtained by the organizers in advance nor was there any response by Defendants after the fact to sanction the organizers for holding such a rally without the required City permit. Leading up to this event, a Facebook event page listed 487 people as "going" and another 951 as being "interested." (Exhibit H). Mayor Signor remarked after the event that he was "stunned by the huge, spontaneous turnout on such short notice which I think is evidence of how strongly folks feel about standing up for American values."

47. The City has expressed a preference for the counter-protesters. On August 9, 2017, a spokeswoman for the City encouraged individuals to "consider attending [events on counter programming to the rally]." The City has never encouraged individuals to consider attending Plaintiff's rally.

48. Members of the City Council who have the authority to hire and fire Defendant Jones have made clear their opposition to Plaintiff's political viewpoint. On June 21, 2017, Mayor Michael Signer described the planned August 12th rally's content and speakers as "racist and bigoted." (Exhibit C). Previously, after a candlelight vigil held as a counter protest to a "torch" lit demonstration against the Park renaming decision the night before, Mayor Signer issued a public statement saying that "intolerance is not welcome here" and the next day tweeted a picture of the May 14, 2017 vigil with the caption, "Candlelight vigil against hate in Cville. These are the kind of "torches" Hike to see." (Exhibit F and Exhibit E). On August 2, 2017, Vice Mayor Wes Bellamy responded to a tweet from the Twitter handle "Solidarity Cville," which described the "Unite the Right" rally as "fascism" and called for confronting the event, with "this is dope! #Resist." (Exhibit J)

49. At the Charlottesville City Council meeting on Monday, July 17, 2017, 13 of the 15 people who spoke during the public comment period either criticized the actions of the Charlottesville Police Department and the Virginia State Police during and after the Ku Klux Klan rally on July 8 or advocated that the permit granted to Plaintiff for the August 12th demonstration be revoked or reissued for McIntire Park because of their opposition to the Plaintiff's message or both. When the City Manager began to respond to the public comments about the police actions at the July 8th event taken against counter-demonstrators during and after the Ku Klux Klan representatives were demonstrating, the crowd became disruptive, yelling "liar," and the Mayor suspended the meeting rather than have police personnel remove those who were disrupting the City Manager's comments.

50. On July 27, 2017, 43 downtown businesses wrote a letter to Council demanding that the City treat the planned August 12th demonstration like a public event and impose additional requirements on the organizer and that it consider moving the event because of the risk to public safety and their businesses. One business owner who signed the letter said that "the organizer and other would-be attendees" at the demonstration had "crossed the line from free speech to basically a call to imminent lawless action."

51. In an interview with CBS19News on July 12th Plaintiff said that he "absolutely intends to have a peaceful rally." Plaintiff added that he expected 400 people from around the country to attend and promised that his group would "avoid violence." In the same news story, critics of Plaintiff said that they would encourage their members to show up "in large numbers" and argued that the police should not show up because they "put people in danger."

52. Despite representations that the decision to revoke the Plaintiff's permit was made by Defendant City Manager, there was a closed three-hour meeting of City Council on August 2, 2017 at which Defendant City Manager, the City Attorney and other staff including some representatives of law enforcement were present. Council issued a statement that evening about the subject of the meeting: "This evening, Charlottesville City Council met in closed session to consult with legal counsel and staff regarding the best options to keep the community safe during the August 12 Unite the Right Rally while preserving the 1st amendment rights of participants."

53. The Plaintiff met with Defendant City Manager Maurice Jones, Park's Business Operations Supervisor Michelle Christian and Police Captain Wendy Lewis the morning of Monday, August 7th. At the meeting, the Defendant City Manager asked the Plaintiff to accept the City's decision to modify his permit for McIntire Park. Plaintiff asked the City Manager what the occupancy limit for Emancipation Park would be and asked if the permit could be kept in place if he agreed to keep the crowd size within that limit. The Defendant would or could not give Plaintiff an occupancy limit number. Then, Plaintiff asked Defendant whether he could maintain the permit if he agreed to have the attendance limited to 400. The Defendant City Manager did not respond and proceeded to implement his decision to revoke and modify the permit as described in the August 7 letter without providing an answer or seeking to resolve any legitimate public safety concerns Defendants might have about holding the demonstration in Emancipation Park.

54. Later on August 7th, after the press conference where the City announced that the permit for Emancipation Park was revoked, Plaintiff entered a closed door meeting with Captain Lewis, Chief of Police Al Thomas and Incident Commander Captain Victor Mitchell. Plaintiff asked if the police would still enforce previously agreed upon security arrangements for the Unite the Right demonstration in the Park whether there was a permit for that site or not. The Chief of Police indicated in the affirmative. Plaintiff asked the Chief if the police would still keep counter-demonstrators out of the park prior to the Unite the Right demonstration and he indicated in the

affirmative. Plaintiff also asked Chief Thomas if the police would still set up barricades around the park and allow Unite the Right to control access into the park via two entrance points on the Market Street side of the park as was previously agreed upon. He indicated in the affirmative.

55. The next day on Tuesday, August 8th Plaintiff met with Captain Lewis, Captain Mitchell and one other police official. They told Plaintiff that Chief Thomas changed his mind and that the Plaintiff's demonstration would receive none of the protections in Emancipation Park promised the day before. The police representatives also told Plaintiff that the police would only keep people out of Lee Park until 6am when it typically opens instead of allowing Unite the Right to restrict access to their demonstrators as had previously been agreed. The police said that the Park would be divided in half between demonstrators and counter-demonstrators. Plaintiff asked how the police intended to tell one from the other or to preserve distinct areas for demonstrators with opposing views. The police representatives told Plaintiff that there was no plan for them to do that, so theoretically the counter-demonstrators would not only still have permits for the two other parks in the area (McGuffey and Justice) but also be allowed to occupy all of Emancipation Park without a permit being issued.

56. Before leaving the meeting on August 8th, Plaintiff asked if Parks and

Recreation would allow use of the power or water in the park and they said no. Plaintiff asked why all these changes had been made to security and the policy of Parks and Rec and if this was due to political pressure. Capt. Lewis indicated affirmatively with her facial expression and body language.

57. Thus, with his scheduled rally only two days away, Plaintiff does not have a permit enabling him to demonstrate in Emancipation Park, and counter-demonstrators have two downtown permits and the ability to occupy Emancipation Park without a permit.

58. Unless Defendants and their agents are enjoined, Plaintiff, other similarly-situated protesters who share his views, and other members of the public will be irreparably harmed as they will be prevented from peacefully gathering to express their views on pressing issues of public concern at a time, place and in a manner reasonable for them to do so.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS <u>42 U.S.C. § 1983</u>

59. The foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference as if each is fully set forth herein.

60. Defendants' revocation of the requested permit violated—and, unless enjoined by this Court, will continue to violate—Plaintiff's rights to freedom of speech, assembly, and petition as guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

61. The Defendants' revocation of Plaintiff's permit was based on his viewpoint and was not necessary to achieve any compelling government interest, in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments;

62. To the extent that the revocation of the permit was based on crowd size, the revocation was not narrowly tailored to a substantial government interest, and did not leave open alternative means of communication.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court:

 A. Enter judgment declaring that Defendants' revocation, denial and/or modification of Plaintiff's requested permit to hold a demonstration in Emancipation Park on August 12, 2017 violates the First and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;

- B. Enter a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction enjoining Defendants to permit the demonstration to go on as planned in Emancipation Park on August 12, 2017 from 12pm to 5pm and to provide such security as may be necessary to protect the rights of the demonstrators and the public.
- C. Award Plaintiff his costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in this action;
- D. Grant Plaintiff such other and further relief, including damages for the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights, as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

JASON KESSLER

By Counsel

Dated: August 10, 2017

Counsel for Plaintiff

//s// Hope Amezquita Hope R. Amezquita (VSB No. 74629) Leslie Mehta(VSB No. 90437) American Civil Liberties Foundation of Virginia, Inc. 701 E. Franklin St., Ste. 1412 Richmond, VA 23219 Phone: 804-644-8080 Fax: 804.649.2733 Email : Imehta@acluva.org Email: hamezquita@acluva.org

<u>//s// Victor M. Glasberg</u>
Victor M. Glasberg (VSB No. 16184)
Maxwell C. Sokol (VSB No. 89589)
Victor M. Glasberg & Associates
121 S. Columbus Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Phone: 703-684-1100
Fax: 703-684-1104
Email: vmg@robinhoodesq.com
Email: msokol@robinhoodesq.com

John Whitehead (VSB No. 20361) Douglas R. McKusick (VSB No.72201) The Rutherford Institute 923 Gardens Boulevard P.O. Box 7482 Charlottesville, Virginia 22906 Phone: 434-978-3888 Fax: 434-978-1789 Email: johnw@rutherford.org Email: johnw@rutherford.org

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, counsel certifies that he will attempt to give notice to

Defendants of CM/ECF filing to the following:

S. Craig Brown, City Attorney City Attorney's Office P.O. Box 911 Charlottesville, VA 22902 Phone: 434-970-3101 Facsimile: 434-970-3890 Email:brownc@charlottesville.org

VERIFICATION

I, Jason Kessler, the plaintiff herein, declare under penalty of perjury that I am personally acquainted with the matters alleged in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 19, 20,21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 48, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, and 58. and that the allegations in these paragraphs are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

low Jam

Jason Kessler

	P
SF. AL_EVENT APPLICATION REQUEST \$25.00 NUN-Refundable Application Fee Required Please attach any additional info to this form. Return to: Charlottesville Parks & Recreation P.O. Box 911 Charlottesville, VA 22902	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Organizational Status: Non-ProfitCommercialIndependant Maps AttachedABC Permit Required Tent Permit RequiredLicense Verified

INDEMNITY RELEASE

In making this request, the applicant understands that the sponsor will hold harmless and indemnify the City, its officers, employees, and agents against injury, loss or damage occurring as a result of this special event. Sponsors of special events held on public property will be required to provide Special Event Liability Insurance in an amount not less than \$1 million dollars, naming the City of Charlottesville, its officers, officials, employees and agents as an additional insured party to the contract. For additional information regarding this requirement please contact the Charlottesville Parks & Recreation Department at 970-3260.

Sponsor(s) Name: JASON Kessler	
Address: 1013 B Altavista Ave	
Sponsor Telephone: 434 996 5567	plce 5/30/17
Event Contact Name: ()	pace
Contact Address: 1013 B Altavista Ave	11:4(eam
charlottesville, va 22902	11:4(eam
Contact Telephone: Office ()	May 30,2017 Date Application Received By P&R
Home ()	
Cell (434) 996-5567	
Jasm Hersler 5/30/1	17
APPLICANT SIGNATURE DATE	
DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED:,,	
EVENT PURPOSE / BRIEF DESCRIPTION:	
Free speech rally in support of the Lea	e Monument
	C PVIIIDIT
	<u>a</u> <u>A</u>

IDENTIFY EVENT CATEGORY: CARNIVAL DEMONSTRATION FAIR FESTIVAL FUNDRAISER HISTORICAL CELEBRATION MARATHON RACE/WALK PARADE OTHER (Describe Other)
EVENT VENUE & LOCATION REQUESTED: Lee Park
LIST RACE/WALK STREET ROUTES, IF APPLICABLE (A clear & legible map showing walk/run routes also requested –Please attach map to application):
STREET CLOSING REQUESTED, IF SO INCLUDE LOCATIONS AND CLOSING /OPENING TIME(S):YES /NC
CLOSING DATE(S) CLOSING/OPENING TIME(S): FROM am/pm UNTIL: am/pm
EVENT START DATE/TIME: Saturday 8/12/17 EVENT END DATE/TIME: Saturday 8/12/17 5000 (WEEKDAY) (DATE) (TIME) (WEEKDAY) (DATE) (TIME)
EVENT SET UP DATE/TIME (INCLUDE WEEKDAY): Saturday 8/12/17 12.00 (DATE) 12.00 (TIME)
EVENT BREAKDOWN DATE/TIME (INCLUDE WEEKDAY):(WEEKDAY) (DATE) (TIME)
EVENT RAIN DATE REQUESTED:YESNO DATE REQUESTED:(WEEKDAY) (DATE) (TIME)
ESTIMATED # PARTICIPANTS: 400 WILL AMPLIFIED MUSIC BE USED: YES NO
DENTIFY TYPE MUSICAL ENTERTAINMENT REQUESTED: BAND DISC-JOCKEY OTHER
CITY UTILITIES NEEDED? YES NO IDENTIFY TYPE UTILITIES NEEDED, IF APPLICABLE:
CITY EQUIPMENT REQUESTED, IS SO PLEASE IDENTIFY TYPE EQUIPMENT:YESNO
DTHER CITY SERVICES REQUESTED YES \cdot NO Please identify the area of services needed including staff assistance if applicable):

PLEASE USE THIS SPACE TO PROVIDE A DIAGRAM OF HOW YOU PROPOSE TO SET UP THE EVENT VENUE SPACE



IDENTIFY EVENT EQUIPMENT & QUAN	ITITY OF EQUIPMENT TO BE PLACED	IN/ON REQUESTED VENUE SPACE
# OF BQOTHS	SIZE OF EACH BOOTH	_
# OF CANOPIES (Pop-Up)	SIZE OF EACH CANOPY	
# OF TABLES	SIZE OF EACH TABLE	_
# OF TENTS ME II) LOXIO	SIZE OF EACH TENT	
# OF STANDS	SIZE OF EACH STAND	Permit from City NDS Dept.)
OTHER EQUIPMENT	(See Below)	
(PLEASE DESCRIBE OTHER EQUIPME Please note if "other" equipment includes the by a 3rd party vendor, proof of insurance by to provide a current certificate of insurance india certificate of workers' compensation coverage officers, officials, employees and agents) as a must be provided to the Parks & Recreation A	e use of a moon bounce and or inflatable car the vendor providing such equipment will be cating at least \$1 million in general liability a ge, if applicable. Said insurance shall name an additional insured party to the insurance of	required. The 3rd party vendor shall nd completed operations coverage and the City of Charlottesville (including its contract. A copy of said documents
110.0		
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE INVOLVED IN T involved with the use of alcoholic beverage Describe:		
		194
HEALTH	DEPARTMENT INFORMATION REQUES	TED
WILL FOOD BE DISTRIBUTED AT THIS E by the State Health Department (Thomas Please provide the below information requ	Jefferson Health District (TJHD) located	orary Food Permit will be required on Rose Hill Drive (434) 972-6259.
NUMBER OF VENDORS CONTACT	NAME (If different from Sponsor/Applica	nt):
CONTACT	TELEPHONE (If different from Sponsor/A	Applicant):
IS SITE EQUIPPED WITH WATER FAUCE	TS/FIXTURES:YESNO	
MEANS OF WASTEWATER DISPOSAL:		

Parks & Rec Admin PO Box 911 501 East Main Street Charlottesville VA 22902 Phone: (434)970-3260 ST Tax ID: 0000723169 Fed Tax ID: 54-600-1202 Date: 05/30/2017 @ 11:46am

internal zzzhousehold

Description Special Event Applic ITEM: BSE	Ext Price 25.00
Total New Fees Discount Applied Total Due	25.00 0.00 25.00
Total Fees Paid	25.00

Clerk: frn

25.00

Payment of: 25.00 Made By:CREDIT Auth: 047607 Card#: xxxxxxxxxx5071

Receipt # 1210253

Total Paid



CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)
06/05/2017

PRODUCER	THIS CERTIFICATION IS ISSUED AS A MATT	ER OF INFORMATION
East Main Street Insurance Services, Inc.	ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPO	N THE CERTIFICATE
Will Maddux	HOLDER, THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT	AMEND. EXTEND OR
PO Box 1298	ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE	POLICIES BELOW.
Grass Valley, CA 95945		
Phone: (530) 477-6521 Email: info@theeventhelper.com	INSURERS AFFORDING COVERAGE	NAIC #
INSURED	INSURER A: Evanston Insurance Company	35378
Jason Kessler	INSURER B:	
1013 Altavista Ave Apt B Charlottesville, VA 22902	INSURER C	
onanonoomio, valeboe	INSURER D	
	INSURER E	

COVERAGES

THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. AGGREGATE LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

TR INS	RD TYPE OF INSURANCE	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFFECTIVE DATE (MM/DD/YY)	POLICY EXPIRATION DATE (MM/DD/YY)	LIMIT	3
	GENERAL LIABILITY				EACH OCCURRENCE INCLUDES BOOLY MAINY & PROPERTY DAVAGE	\$ 1,000,0
4 Y		3DS5455-M1538832	08/12/2017	08/13/2017	MED EXP (Any one person)	\$ 5,0
			_	1997 1	PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$ 1,000,0
	Host Liquor Liability				GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$ 2,000,0
Í	GENL AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:				PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	\$ 1,000,00
					DEDUCTIBLE	\$ 1,0
	Retail Liquor Liability					\$
			50).		COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)	\$
	ALL OWNED AUTOS				BODILY INJURY (Per person)	\$
	HIRED AUTOS				BOD(LY (NJURY (Per accident)	\$
			2.5		PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident)	\$
	GARAGE LIABILITY				AUTO ONLY - EA ACCIDENT	\$
	ANY AUTO				OTHER THAN EA ACC	\$
					AUTO ONLY: AGG	\$
	EXCESS/UMBRELLA LIABILITY				EACH OCCURRENCE	\$
					AGGREGATE	\$
						\$
	DEDUCTIBLE					\$
	RETENTION \$					\$
	ORKERS COMPENSATION AND				TORY LIMITS ER	
	Y PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE				E.L. EACH ACCIDENT	\$
	FICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED?		6	ĺ	E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE	\$
SP	ECIAL PROVISIONS below				E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT	\$
	HER					
	I TION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICL ite holder listed below is named as addition			[SIONS		

Certificate holder listed below is named as additional Insured per attached CG 20 26 07 04

Attendance: 400, Event Type: Meeting - Outdoor.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER	CANCELLATION
Lee Park 201-299 2nd St NE Charlottesville, VA 22902	SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, THE ISSUING INSURER WILL ENDEAVOR TO MAIL <u>30</u> DAYS WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER NAMED TO THE LEFT, BUT FAILURE TO DO GO CHALL IMPOSE NO OBLICATION OF LIABILITY OF ANY KIND UPON THE INSURER, ITE ACENTS OF REPRESENTATIVES.
	AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
ACORD 25 (2001/08)	© ACORD CORPORATION 1988

CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE

"To be One Community Filled with Opportunity"

Office of The City Manager P.O. Box 911 • Charlottesville, Virginia 22902 Telephone 434-970-3101 Fax 434-970-3890 www.charlottesville.org



August 7, 2017 By Electronic and U.S. Mail

Dear Mr. Kessler:

I write on behalf of the City's Department of Parks and Recreation in reference to your May 30, 2017 application for a permit to conduct a demonstration in Emancipation Park on August 12, 2017. While demonstrations are typically handled through that Department, the unprecedented size and scope of your rally at its proposed Downtown location requires me, as the City official charged with the general supervision and control of City property, to review your request in light of its significant impact on the community.

Based on information provided to me by law enforcement officials, the City has decided to approve your application for a permit to hold a demonstration on the day and at the times requested, provided that you use McIntire Park, rather than Emancipation Park, for your demonstration. To the extent that the permit is deemed granted due to inaction within ten days of receipt of the application, the City hereby modifies the permit, based on the above-referenced information, to specify that the demonstration take place at McIntire Park. If you are unwilling to use the McIntire Park location, the permit for Emancipation Park is hereby denied pursuant to section 3.4.5 of the City's Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") for Special Events and Demonstrations or, if the Permit is deemed granted due to inaction within ten days of receipt of the application, the City hereby revokes the permit pursuant to section 3.4.7 of the City SOP.

Your permit application states that the demonstration will consist of approximately 400 people. However, in recent days it has come to the City's attention that many thousands of individuals are likely to attend the demonstration. Because Emancipation Park is a relatively confined space of just over one acre in a densely populated urban area with limited parking space, it is unable to accommodate safely even a peaceful crowd of this size. The City's law enforcement, fire and emergency medical services personnel cannot adequately protect people and property in and around Emancipation Park due to the number of anticipated attendees trying to occupy such a small and confined space. The Police Department also anticipates that the presence of such a large demonstration in Emancipation Park would require the closing of a section of East Market Street, leading to massive traffic congestion and shutting down a principal means of ingress and egress, for the duration of the 5 hour rally.



Mr. Kessler Page Two

A large demonstration at McIntire Park does not present the same problems. The use of McIntire Park will still require the deployment of considerable law enforcement resources, but the size, layout and other features of the Park will better enable the City's law enforcement and other emergency personnel to protect the attendees and the public. In contrast, holding such a large rally at Emancipation Park poses an unacceptable danger to public order and safety.

In our last conversation you were insistent that the rally take place at Emancipation Park. We ask that you reconsider that position. The City reserves all rights should you decide not to abide by the terms of your approved permit.

Sincerely,

Maurice Jones

Maurice Jones City Manager

●●ooo Verizon 죽

4:57 PM

1 🖲 岩 31% 💽

mobile.twitter.com

\leftarrow **Mike Signer**

000

EXHIBIT



Mike Signer @MikeSigner · Jun 21 It appears even the "Proud Boys" have disavowed the August 12 alt-right event for racist and bigoted content and speakers. #donttakethebait.





Mike Signer @MikeSigner · Jun 21 Proud to work w/my colleagues at @CvilleCityHall on Unity alternatives to KKK on July 8. unitycville.com.



1 Mike Signer Retweeted

	≙ r	nobile.twitter	.com	C
←	Mike Sig	gner		000
	Q 1.2K	153.8K	♡ 382.3K	
0	Folks, this 9th grade	er @MikeSign is the so-calle locker room w #donttakethe	d "Proud Boys as more manly	-





This event involving torches at night in Lee Park was either profoundly ignorant or was designed to instill fear in our minority populations in a way that hearkens back to the days of the KKK. Either way, as mayor of this City, I want everyone to know this: we reject this intimidation. We are a Welcoming City, but such intolerance is not welcome here.



Comment

↔ Share









Mike Signer @MikeSigner

y

Candlelight vigil against hate in Cville. These are the kind of "torches" I like to see.

9:01 PM - May 14, 2017

♀ 26 1,218 ♥ 614









http://www.nbc29.com/story/31975097/lee-park-holds-13th-annual-festival-of-cultures

- Bearing Drift - https://bearingdrift.com -



Charlottesville Mayor Dodges Permit, Fees, Insurance for "Capital of the Resistance" Rally

Posted By Rob Schilling On February 15, 2017 @ 1:10 PM In Local Government | No Comments

A January 31 "<u>Capital of the Resistance [1]</u>" rally in <u>downtown Charlottesville</u> ^[2], convened by <u>Mayor Michael Signer</u> ^[3], was held without a permit, according to City spokesperson, Miriam Dickler—and apparently without the payment of legally codified costs and fees.

<u>Media reports</u> ^[4] estimated attendance anywhere from "several hundred" to seven hundred people.

City "Standard Operating Procedures" policy #100-04, "<u>Special Events Regulation</u> [5]" stipulates:

That the process is in place to "...ensure public convenience in the use of city streets and outdoor areas, the preservation of public order and safety, and the defraying of administrative expenses associated with certain types of uses." [Section 1.0 Purpose] That the City Manager (Maurice Jones^[6]) is responsible for managing such events, and that City departments (police, fire, public works, and Neighborhood Development Services) review event applications. [Section 2.0 City Departments/Organizations Affected] That Signer's event would likely be classified a "demonstration" or "non-commercial expression protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution (such as picketing, political marches, speechmaking, vigils, walks, etc.) conducted on public property; the conduct of which has the effect, intent or propensity to draw a crowd..." [Section 3.1.3 Definitions]

That an event of this magnitude "may be held only pursuant to a permit issued by the Events Coordinator..." [Section 3.2 Permit Requirements]

That applications for events on the Downtown Mall be submitted in writing at least 10 business days "in advance of any proposed demonstration..." [Section 3.3.2 Permit Applications]

That a permit application fee be paid "by the sponsor of every proposed demonstration..." [Section 3.4.3]

That "all City ordinances and State statutes limiting the use or obstruction of fire lanes, emergency routs and pedestrian walkways must be observed. No person(s) participating in a demonstration...shall block any entrances to or exits from City buildings..." [Section 3.5.3 No Impediments to Public Access]

That prior to the commencement of the event, "Sponsors of demonstrations for which a permit is required shall be required to indemnify and hold harmless the City..." and "shall furnish a general liability and property damage insurance contract insuring the Sponsor's liability" in "an amount not less than \$1,000,000..." [Section 3.5.4 Indemnification and Insurance]

That sound amplification is permitted only if "prior notice has been given to the Events Coordinator..." [Section 3.5.7 Sound Amplification]

That "The Sponsor of every special event shall be required to pay (in advance) a fee for cleanup costs..." [Section 3.5.13 Sanitation and Garbage]

That "Sponsors must pay an Electricity Fee to the City, in advance." [Section 3.5.14 Electricity]

That "Sponsor will be billed per hour for each officer actually utilized..." based on "circumstances of the event" and "based on the regular hourly rates of salaries for the police personnel utilized." [Appendix A]

In addition to numerous likely violations of City regulations and missed payment of obligatory fees, Signer appears to have utilized City resources, including the email and paid time of City Council Clerk, Paige Rice, in the coordination of his event. At 2:29 PM on January 30, Rice emailed the following "Media Advisory," listing herself as the contact person for Signer's event:

From: "Rice, Paige" <ricep@charlottesville.org> Subject: MEDIA ADVISORY: Mayor to Convene Faith, Civic Leaders outside City Hall *THIS TUES at 12PM* Date: January 30, 2017 at 02:29:16 PM EST

Contact: Paige Rice ricep@charlottesville.org (434) 970-3113 Press Release: FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Monday, January 30, 2017

Signer calls on residents to defend American values, declares City a "Capital of the Resistance" Mayor to convene faith, civic leaders for announcement Tuesday

CHARLOTTESVILLE — On Tuesday, January 31, Mayor Mike Signer will convene area leaders and a growing number of Charlottesville residents for a special announcement and rally outside City Hall. The purpose of the event is to send a clear signal to all those alarmed by

the recent Executive Order of President Donald Trump.

Signer and numerous religious and civic leaders of Charlottesville hope to offer a bulwark against fear, division, and uncertainty in the wake of the president's action last Friday, and in light of Trump's call for "a ban on all Muslims entering the country," during his election campaign.

"Our strength lies in our values of diversity, tolerance, and shared humanity," said Signer. "Charlottesville, the historic home of Thomas Jefferson and James Madison, must stand up for these American values and be a Capital of the Resistance."

WHEN: Tuesday, January 31st at 12:00 noon – 1:00 p.m. WHERE: Charlottesville City Hall, 605 E. Main Street, Downtown Mall entrance WHO: In addition to the mayor, speakers include: Khizr Khan, Esq., Gold Star Families Karim Ginena, Islamic Society of Central Virginia Harriet Kuhr, International Rescue Committee Pam Northam, Office of the Lieutenant Governor Rabbi Tom Gutherz, Congregation Beth Israel Pastor Alvin Edwards, Mt. Zion First African Baptist Church Reverend Elaine Ellis Thomas, St. Paul's Memorial Episcopal Church Jeffrey W. Legro, Vice Provost for Global Affairs & Taylor Professor of Politics at the University of Virginia

Charlottesville is home to a major office of the International Rescue Committee and to hundreds of political refugees who have fled oppression in their home countries for the safety and security of American democracy.

"I have met with dozens of political refugees, recent immigrants, and university students who identify as Muslim or hail from the countries targeted by the executive order," said Signer.

"They have expressed confusion about the implications for their immigration status, concern about whether they have simply escaped from one tyranny to another, and fear about whether the United States remains, in the poet Emma Lazarus' words, a place that embraces 'your huddled masses yearning to breathe free'."

At the event, Mayor Signer will announce* plans to:

1. Work with Virginia's Congressional delegation to get specific help for Charlottesville's immigrant and refugee community regarding urgent visa and travel questions

Join Cities for Action's coalition of mayors demanding progress on immigration issues

3. Ask Charlottesville's City Manager to advise City Council on legal and policy options to provide added protections for immigrants and political refugees

4. Ask Charlottesville's Human Rights Commission to address issues of xenophobia and religious intolerance

*Mayor Signer will be speaking in his individual capacity, as one elected member of City Council, not for City Council as a whole.

For updates, follow Mayor Mike on Twitter @mikesigner and Facebook # # #

Michael Signer (434) 284-2588 (m)

Paige Rice Clerk of Council Charlottesville, Virginia ricep@charlottesville.org (434) 970-3113

In a February 14 email to The Schilling Show, Signer pled ignorance and contradicted fact:

From: "Signer, Mike" <msigner@charlottesville.org> Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Event Permit Date: February 14, 2017 at 10:32:09 AM EST To: "Schilling, Rob" Cc: "Dickler, Miriam" <dickler@charlottesville.org>, "Jones, Maurice" <MJONES@CHARLOTTESVILLE.ORG>

No City personnel were involved in the planning or execution of the press

conference. It was assembled and run by volunteers, many coming together at the last minute. Even the podium and mic and speakers were brought by volunteers. The event was intended as a standard press conference at the Free Speech Wall, which typically do not require permits. That event grew quickly and spontaneously in part due to the publicity by several of the speakers' organizations, including the churches and the IRC.

I was frankly stunned by the huge, spontaneous turnout on such short notice, which I think is evidence of how strongly folks feel about standing up for American values against the combination of intolerance and incompetence seen in the recent executive order, which has now been stayed by multiple federal courts on Constitutional grounds. [emphasis added]

Mayor Mike Signer City of Charlottesville (434) 970-3113 A World-Class City

Mayor Signer's statement was deceptive at best.

No City personnel? The Charlottesville Police Department confirmed to The Schilling Show that they had assigned "10 police officers and 2 Community Service Officers" to the event, for about an hour and a half (approximately 18 man-hours); this, in addition to Signer's use of City Council Clerk , Paige Rice, as an official "contact" for the press conference.

As to the Mayor's claim that he was "stunned by the huge, spontaneous turnout," a Facebook event page, set up by Signer himself, indicated that 487 people had confirmed attendance in advance, and 951 people were "interested" in attending. Signer certainly had information available indicating this would be a very large event. (See graphic below.)



All tolled, Charlottesville Mayor Michael Signer may have bilked his own citizens out of

hundreds of dollars in delineated fees and payments, and he may have inappropriately utilized City staff to promote a non-City event.

But there may be a legal price (<u>\$250</u>^[7])—outside of the pro forma financial costs—for such wanton disregard of rules and laws. According to Charlottesville Code:

Sec. 28-29. – Street parades, processions, and other events. ^[7]

(a) No parade or procession of any kind shall be held or conducted in any city rights-of-way without first obtaining a permit from the city manager.

(b) The city manager is authorized to require permits for the use of city rights-of-way for special events and community events (as defined within section 28-29(c) of the City Code), and for other activities that may affect the safety or convenience of the general public. The city manager is authorized to promulgate regulations to govern the time, place and manner of such activities, and to establish reasonable fees, charges and rentals therefor. A violation of any of the rules and regulations established hereunder shall constitute a Class 4 misdemeanor. [emphasis added]

Given these facts:

Will Charlottesville Mayor Michael Signer pay the costs (in arrear) that any average citizen or organization would bear (in advance) for holding such an event?

Will Charlottesville Mayor Michael Signer issue an apology to his constituents for violating their trust (and potentially, the law)?

Or will <u>lawlessness</u>^[8], <u>oligarchy</u>^[9], cronyism ^[10], demagoguery ^[11], chaos ^[12], inequity ^[13], <u>mobocracy</u> ^[14], and <u>double-standards</u> ^[15] continue to prevail in Michael Signer's Charlottesville-the self-declared "Capital of the Resistance."

Share this:

Facebook [16] LinkedIn ^[17] Google ^[18] Pinterest [19] Reddit ^[20] Twitter^[21] Email [22] Print^[23] Related

Article printed from Bearing Drift: https://bearingdrift.com

URL to article: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayor-dodgespermit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/

URLs in this post:

[1] Capital of the Resistance: https://vimeo.com/202063704/36c6c5c582 [2] downtown Charlottesville: http://www.schillingshow.com/2011/09/06/photoessay-charlottesville%e2%80%99s-dirty-downtown-mall/ [3] Mayor Michael Signer: http://www.schillingshow.com/2017/01/29/witlessunhinged-charlottesville-mayor-signer-pushes-for-sanctuary-city-status/ [4] Media reports: http://www.c-ville.com/capital-resistance-rally-draws-hundreds/ [5] Special Events Regulation: http://www.schillingshow.com/wp-content/uploads /2017/02/2009-Special-Events-Regulations.pdf [6] Maurice Jones: http://www.schillingshow.com/2012/04/30/bad-mojo-libraryof-virginia-slaps-maurice-jones-over-barrick-cover-up-scandal/ [7] \$250: https://www.municode.com/library/va/charlottesville/codes /code_of_ordinances?nodeId=CO_CH1GEPR_S1-11CLPEVICOVI [8] lawlessness: http://www.schillingshow.com/2012/03/21/fraud-misfeasanceand-cover-up-special-prosecutor-investigates-charlottesville-spokesman-barrick/ [9] oligarchy: http://www.schillingshow.com/2016/06/26/heil-signercharlottesville-mayors-new-totalitarian-police-state/ [10] cronyism: http://www.schillingshow.com/2013/05/17/con-flicted-2-charlottesvilles-corrupt-agency-budget-review-process-exposed/ [11] demagoguery: http://www.schillingshow.com/2016/11/18/maniacalcharlottesville-mayor-michael-signer-says-electors-dump-trump/ [12] chaos: http://www.schillingshow.com/2011/12/05/trash-talk-the-terrifyingsounds-of-occupy-charlottesville/ [13] inequity: http://www.schillingshow.com/2012/06/07/rutherford-admonishescharlottesvilles-mayor-huja-for-free-speech-discrimination/ [14] mobocracy: http://www.schillingshow.com/2014/12/19/mobocracy-andmayhem-race-charged-mob-kills-charlottesville-free-speech/ [15] double-standards: http://www.schillingshow.com/2012/06/07/unequalprotection-religious-freedom-group-fronts-600-for-1-hour-charlottesville-protestoccupy-charlottesville-pays-0-for-45-days/ [16] Facebook: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayordodges-permit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=facebook [17] LinkedIn: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayordodges-permit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=linkedin [18] Google: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayor-dodgespermit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=google-plus-1 [19] Pinterest: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayordodges-permit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=pinterest [20] Reddit: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayor-dodgespermit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=reddit [21] Twitter: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayor-dodgespermit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=twitter

[22] Email: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayor-dodgespermit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/?share=email [23] Print: https://bearingdrift.com/2017/02/15/charlottesville-mayor-dodgespermit-fees-insurance-capital-resistance-rally/#print

Copyright © 2008 Bearing Drift. All rights reserved.



this is dope! #Resist

8:19 AM - 2 Aug 2017

1 Like 🤌

	EXHIBIT	
obles"	T	
a		-
-		_